

| SCDOT Design-Build | SCDOT Design-Build Technical Proposal Evaluation Score Sheet | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| | BRIDGE PACKAGE 32 | | | | | |
| | Wednesday, March 12, 2025 | | | | | |
| | Crowder-TranSystems | | Dane-CarolinaTEA | | ES Wagner-HOLT | |
| Preliminary Responsiveness and Responsibility | Pass/Fail | Comments | Pass/Fail | Comments | Pass/Fail | Comments |
| Were the Proposals submitted in accordance with the Milestone Schedule? | Pass | MEETS the requirements of the RFP | Pass | MEETS the requirements of the RFP | Pass | MEETS the requirements of the RFP |
| Is a Technical Proposal Narrative provided? | Pass | MEETS the requirements of the RFP | Pass | MEETS the requirements of the RFP | Pass | MEETS the requirements of the RFP |
| Are Conceptual Plans provided? | Pass | MEETS the requirements of the RFP | Pass | MEETS the requirements of the RFP | Pass | MEETS the requirements of the RFP |
| Is Proposer still considered responsive? | Pass | MEETS the requirements of the RFP | Pass | MEETS the requirements of the RFP | Pass | MEETS the requirements of the RFP |
| Procurement Officer Initials | CW | | CW | | CW | |
| Responsiveness | Yes/No | Comments | Yes/No | Comments | Yes/No | Comments |
| Is the EEO Certificate provided? | Yes | MEETS the requirements of the RFP | Yes | MEETS the requirements of the RFP | Yes | MEETS the requirements of the RFP |
| Is the Non-Collusion Certificate provided? | Yes | MEETS the requirements of the RFP | Yes | MEETS the requirements of the RFP | Yes | MEETS the requirements of the RFP |
| Are the Addendum Receipts provided? | Yes | MEETS the requirements of the RFP | Yes | MEETS the requirements of the RFP | Yes | MEETS the requirements of the RFP |
| Disclosure of Potential COI Certifications Provided? | Yes | MEETS the requirements of the RFP | Yes | MEETS the requirements of the RFP | Yes | MEETS the requirements of the RFP |
| Confidential and Proprietary Information Page Provided? | Yes | MEETS the requirements of the RFP | Yes | MEETS the requirements of the RFP | Yes | MEETS the requirements of the RFP |
| Procurement Officer Initials | CW | | CW | | CW | |
| Technical Proposal Narrative | Pass/Fail | Comments | Pass/Fail | Comments | Pass/Fail | Comments |
| In the Technical Proposal Narrative, Proposers shall include a discussion on its Project Delivery and Approach or the proposal will be considered non-responsive. The Technical Proposal Narrative shall be developed in the following sequence: | | | | | | |
| 1. Describe the Project Delivery & Approach to include assurances and ability to complete the Project within the required timeframe. | Pass | MEETS the requirements of the RFP | Pass | MEETS the requirements of the RFP | Pass | MEETS the requirements of the RFP |
| 2. Include an organizational chart to show how crews will be allocated to the sites. Describe the interaction between multiple crews and superintendents and project management staff. | Pass | MEETS the requirements of the RFP | Pass | MEETS the requirements of the RFP | Pass | MEETS the requirements of the RFP |
| The Technical Proposal Narrative shall contain no more than three pages, excluding the required appendices. Charts, tables, and schedules used to explain or expand on the Technical Proposal are to be included within the page limit and shall not be inserted into the appendices. No additional information shall be accepted, including, but not limited to, links to external websites, video clips, or simulations/visualizations embedded within the Narrative. | Pass | MEETS the requirements of the RFP | Pass | MEETS the requirements of the RFP | Pass | MEETS the requirements of the RFP |
| The Technical Proposal Narrative shall be on single sided 8.5"x11" letter sized paper, with minimum ten-point Times New Roman font and double line spacing for text. Any Conceptual Plans shall be provided in black and white on single sided 11"x17" paper unless otherwise noted herein. | | | | | | |
| | Pass/Fail | Comments | Pass/Fail | Comments | Pass/Fail | Comments |
| Overall Section Pass/Fail: | Pass | | Pass | | Pass | |



| | | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|
| SCDOT Design-Build | SCDOT Design-Build Technical Proposal Evaluation Score Sheet | | | | | |
| | BRIDGE PACKAGE 32 Wednesday, March 12, 2025 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Procurement Officer Initials | CW | | CW | | CW | |
| Technical Proposal Appendices | Pass/Fail | Comments | Pass/Fail | Comments | Pass/Fail | Comments |
| 3. Provide Conceptual Bridge and Roadway Plans. The intent of scoring the Proposer's conceptual roadway plans is for SCDOT to understand that the proposer clearly demonstrates its understanding of requirements of the RFP and the Team's approach to meet those requirements. The quality of the plans will be reviewed and scored (Pass/Fail) for compliance with RFP requirements. The following shall be provided. | | | | | | |
| a. Typical sections for proposed roadway | Pass | MEETS the requirements of the RFP | Pass | MEETS the requirements of the RFP | Pass | MEETS the requirements of the RFP |
| b. Plan and Profile of proposed roadway showing existing and proposed Right of Way limits | Pass | MEETS the requirements of the RFP | Pass | MEETS the requirements of the RFP | Pass | MEETS the requirements of the RFP |
| c. Conceptual Bridge/Culvert Plan and Profile including but not limited to: horizontal and vertical clearances, hydrology data, intent for bridge deck and bridge end drainage, anticipated foundation type, approximate toe of slope with abutment grading and riprap, expansion joint locations and types of joint materials, and bearing conditions at each bent. | Pass | MEETS the requirements of the RFP | Pass | MEETS the requirements of the RFP | Pass | MEETS the requirements of the RFP |
| d. Superstructure cross sections and substructure elevations showing pertinent structural elements and dimensions. | Pass | MEETS the requirements of the RFP | Pass | MEETS the requirements of the RFP | Pass | MEETS the requirements of the RFP |
| | Pass/Fail | | Pass/Fail | | Pass/Fail | |
| Overall Section Pass/Fail: | Pass | | Pass | | Pass | |
| Procurement Officer Initials | CW | | CW | | CW | |
| Overall Team Pass/Fail: | | | | | | |
| Overall Pass/Fail: | Pass | | Pass | | Pass | |
| Procurement Officer Initials | CW | | CW | | CW | |
| | I certify that the scores shown on this sheet(s) accurately reflect the actions of the Committee on March 13, 2025 and that the evaluation was done in accordance with the RFP. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Tyler Clark Chairperson (voting) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Austin Purgason Voting Member | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Carolyn Fisher Voting Member | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Will McGoldrick Voting Member | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Bobby Usry Voting Member | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Carmen Wright Procurement Officer | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Brian Gambrell Legal | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |